



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,227	04/01/2004	Akihiro Takahashi	P24814	5377
			EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191			ROBERTS, JESSICA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
	÷		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/15/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/814,227	TAKAHASHI, AKIHIRO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jessica Roberts	2621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		,			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathbb R$	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document		•			
2. Certified copies of the priority document					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d			
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	u.			
Attachment(s)	 -				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P				
apor mojojiman bate	3/ <u></u> .				

10/814,227 Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgment of Amendments

The amendments filed on 10/18/2007 overcomes the following rejection(s)/objection(s):

Amendment to the specification has overcome the objection of figure 2A in the previous non-final rejection.

The rejection of claims 1-13 under 35 U.S.C 112 second paragraph has been withdrawn in view of Applicants amendment.

The rejection of claim 7 under 35 U.S.C.112 fourth paragraph has been withdrawn in view of Applicants amendment.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

10/814,227 Art Unit: 2621

- 3. Claims 1- 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higuchi et al., US 2001/0022612 in view of Nakashima et al., US-2001/0015754.
- Regarding claim 1, Higuchi discloses An electronic endoscope system having an 4. electronic endoscope (10) and a processor (20) that processes an output of the electronic endoscope, the electronic endoscope including: an image capturing element (CCD, 13) adapted to capture an image of an object to be observed; a signal processing circuit (correlated double sampling unit in conjunction with the analog digital converter and the digital video processor [0021] and 18-19) that receives the output of the image capturing element; a digitized information outputting system (10) that outputs digitized information representing at least information intrinsic to the electronic endoscope and control information for the processor (information is communicated between the microcomputer 21 at the side of the endoscope and the microcomputer 35 for the processor [0025] and 21, 35). Higuchi is silent in regards to a generates a digital video signal including a region included in a blanking interval; and a digitized information superimposing system that superimposes the digitized information output by the digitized information outputting system on the digital video signal output by the signal processing circuit in the region included in the blanking interval.
- 5. However, Nakashima discloses generates a digital video signal including a region included in a blanking interval [0010]; and a digitized information superimposing system that superimposes the digitized information output by the digitized information

10/814,227 Art Unit: 2621

outputting system on the digital video signal output by the signal processing circuit in the region included in the blanking interval [0010], [0022].

- 6. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Higuchi and Nakashima in order to provide a small and light endoscope apparatus in which information (video settings) of an image pickup device of the endoscope is indicated and confirmed in a TV monitor [0006].
- 7. Regarding **claim 2**, the combination of Higuchi and Nakashima teaches everything as claimed above, see claim 1. In addition, Higuchi discloses The electronic endoscope system according to claim 1, wherein the electronic endoscope is provided with a storage, which stores the information intrinsic to the electronic endoscope, the digitized information outputting system retrieving the information intrinsic to the electronic endoscope from the storage (microcomputer for performing an integral control on each circuit, [0022] and **21**.
- 8. Regarding **claim 3**, the combination of Higuchi and Nakashima teaches everything as claimed above, see claim 1. In addition, Higuchi discloses The electronic endoscope system according to claim 1, wherein the information intrinsic to the electronic endoscope includes a type of the electronic endoscope (EEPROM, [0022] and **22**).
- 9. Regarding **claim 4**, the combination of Higuchi and Nakashima teaches everything as claimed above, see claim 3. In addition, Higuchi discloses The electronic endoscope system according to claim 3, and wherein the processor processes the

digital video signal extracted from the output of the electronic endoscope in accordance with the information intrinsic to the electronic endoscope ([0030]). Higuchi is silent in regards to wherein the electronic endoscope outputs the digital video signal including the superimposed digitized information in the region included in the blanking interval to the processor.

- 10. However, Nakashima discloses wherein the electronic endoscope outputs the digital video signal including the superimposed digitized information in the region included in the blanking interval to the processor ([0010] and [0022]).
- 11. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Higuchi and Nakashima in order to provide a small and light endoscope apparatus in which information (video settings) of an image pickup device of the endoscope is indicated and confirmed in a TV monitor [0006].
- 12. Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higuchi et al., US 2001/0022612 in view of Nakashima et al., US-2001/0015754 and further in view of Wada et al., US-7, 053,926.
- 13. Regarding **claim 5**, the combination of Higuchi and Nakashima as a whole are silent in regards to The electronic endoscope system according to claim 1, wherein the electronic endoscope is provided with at least one operable member which can be operated by a user, and wherein the digitized information outputting system outputs the control information in response to an operation of the at least one operable member.

10/814,227 Art Unit: 2621

- 14. However, Wada discloses at least one operable member which can be operated by user (Wada; freeze switch, **11**), and wherein the digitized information outputting system outputs the control information in response to an operation of the at least one operable member (Wada; microcomputer, column 4 line 62-66 and **30**).
- 15. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Higuchi, Nakashima, and Wada in order to provide an electronic endoscope apparatus that can easily form an image at a desired magnification, can obtain a still image itself, positioned in optimum conditions, in a state where the still image is arbitrarily enlarged, and moreover, can record the still image, which is enlarged, in a recording device through easy operation (column 2 line 19-26).
- 16. Regarding **claim 6**, the combination of Higuchi, Nakashima and Wada teaches everything as claimed above, see claim 5. In addition, The electronic endoscope system according to claim 5, wherein the processor includes an extracting system that extracts the digitized information from the digital video signal (Higuchi, mirror circuit in conjunction with the contour enhancing circuit and color conversion circuit, **26-28** [0030]). Higuchi silent in regards to including the superimposed digitized information in the region included in the blanking interval.
- 17. However, Nakashima teaches including the superimposed digitized information in the region included in the blanking interval ([0010]).
- 18. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Higuchi and Nakashima in order to

10/814,227 Art Unit: 2621

provide a small and light endoscope apparatus in which information (video settings) of an image pickup device of the endoscope is indicated and confirmed in a TV monitor [0006].

- 19. Regarding **claim 7**, the combination of The electronic endoscope system according to claim 6, wherein the processor includes a controller that controls a device to which the digitized information as extracted is directed (Higuchi and Wada both disclose a processor in which they both contain a microprocessor for controlling the processor. Furthermore, it's notoriously known that a processor would include a controller).
 - 20. Regarding **claim 8**, The combination of Higuchi, Nakashima, and Wada as a whole teaches everything as claimed above, see claim 7. The combination of Higuchi and Nakashima are silent in regards to The electronic endoscope system according to claim 7, wherein the processor is connected with a displaying device the controller controlling the displaying device in accordance with the control information represented by the digitized information.
 - 21. However, Wada teaches The electronic endoscope system according to claim 7, wherein the processor is connected with a displaying device (Wada; monitor column 4 line 38 and 38), the controller controlling the displaying device in accordance with the control information represented by the digitized information (Wada, microcontroller performs various kinds of control, column 4 line 59-61 and 30).
 - 22. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Higuchi, Nakashima, and Wada in

10/814,227 Art Unit: 2621

order to provide an electronic endoscope apparatus that can easily form an image at a desired magnification, can obtain a still image itself, positioned in optimum conditions, in a state where the still image is arbitrarily enlarged, and moreover, can record the still image, which is enlarged, in a recording device through easy operation (column 2 line 19-26).

- 23. Regarding claim 9, the combination of Higuchi, Nakashima, and Wada as a whole teaches everything as claimed above, see claim 7. In addition, Higuchi and Nakashima are silent in regards to The electronic endoscope system according to claim 7, wherein the processor is connected with a printing device the controller controlling the printing device in accordance with the control information represented by the digitized information.
- 24. However, The electronic endoscope system according to claim 7, wherein the processor is connected with a printing device (Wada; recording device, column 4 line 36-39 and 17), the controller controlling the printing device in accordance with the control information represented by the digitized information (Wada, microcontroller performs the transmission of the record trigger signal to recording device, column 5 line 25-29 and 36).
- 25. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Higuchi, Nakashima, and Wada in order to provide an electronic endoscope apparatus that can easily form an image at a desired magnification, can obtain a still image itself, positioned in optimum conditions, in a state where the still image is arbitrarily enlarged, and moreover, can record the still

10/814,227 Art Unit: 2621

image, which is enlarged, in a recording device through easy operation (column 2 line 19-26).

- Regarding **claim 10**, The combination of Higuchi and Nakashima as a whole teaches everything as claimed above, see claim 1. In addition, Higuchi discloses The electronic endoscope system according to claim 1, wherein the digital video signal output by the signal processing system includes luminance signal and color difference signals ([0021]. Higuchi is silent in regards to multiplexing the signals in accordance with a time-division multiplexing method.
- 27. However, Adair teaches a time division multiplexing scheme ([0018]).
- 28. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Higuchi and Nakashima with the teachings of Adair to provide a surgical device with imaging capability which may be battery powered and may wirelessly communicate for viewing video images ([0012]).
- 29. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higuchi et al., US 2001/0022612 in view of Adair et al., US-2006/0022234A1.
- 30. Regarding **claim 11**, the combination of Higuchi, Nakashima and Adair as a whole teaches everything as claimed above, see claim 10. Higuchi teaches the DVP 20 generates a luminance signal (Y) and a color difference signal (C) in a digital process performed on an image signal (video signal) output from the CCD 13, and performs an image process such as amplification, white balance, gamma amendment, etc. ([0021]). However, Higuchi is silent in regards to the digitized information superimposing system superimposes the digitized information in the region included in the blanking interval.

10/814,227 Art Unit: 2621

- 31. However, Nakashima discloses the video signal is a TV signal, and the signal adder adds the control signal to a blanking interval of said TV signal so that said blanking interval includes the control signal ([0010] and [0025]). Further Nakashima discloses a signal adder for adding the information signal to the video signal to output the video signal added with the information signal to the transmitter ([0032] and fig. 2).
- 32. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Higuchi and Nakashima in order to provide a small and light endoscope apparatus in which information (video settings) of an image pickup device of the endoscope is indicated and confirmed in a TV monitor [0006].
- 33. The combination of Higuchi and Nakashima as a whole are silent in regards to the digitized information are multiplexed in accordance with a time-division multiplexing method.
- 34. However, Adair teaches a time division multiplexing scheme ([0018]).
- 35. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Higuchi and Nakashima with the teachings of Adair to provide a surgical device with imaging capability which may be battery powered and may wirelessly communicate for viewing video images ([0012]).
- 36. Regarding **claim 12**, the combination of Higuchi, Nakashima and Adair as a whole fail to teach wherein the multiplexed luminance signal, color difference signals and the digitized information is a parallel digital video signal, and wherein the electronic endoscope further includes a converting system that converts The parallel digital video

10/814,227 Art Unit: 2621

signal into a serial digital video signal. However, Official notice is taken that both the concept and the advantage of providing the limitations as claimed are notoriously well known and expected in the art, and therefore would have been obvious to incorporate in Higuchi, Nakashima, and Adair for the benefit of outputting the digital video signal to various peripheral devices for displaying, printing, or controlling purposes.

- 37. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakashima et al., US-2001/0015754 in view of Higuchi et al., US 2001/0022612.
- 38. Regarding **claim 13**, Nakashima teaches A method of controlling a processor of an electronic endoscope system that includes an electronic endoscope and the processor, comprising: the electronic endoscope generating a digital video signal including a region included in a blanking interval ([0010]); the electronic endoscope superimposing control information in the region included in the blanking interval to control the processor on the digital video signal ([0025]); the electronic endoscope transmitting the superimposed digital video signal including the control information superimposed in the region included in the blanking interval ([0023], [0025]). Nakashima is silent in regards to the processor receiving the superimposed digital video signal and extracting the control information; and the processor operating in accordance with the control information.
- 39. However, Higuchi teaches extracting the control information ([0030]) and the processor operating in accordance with the control information (the microcomputer in conjunction with ROM, the microcomputer integrally controlling each circuit in the

10/814,227 Art Unit: 2621

processor and the ROM stores the process information obtained by the processor device(0025] and 35, 26).

40. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Nakashima and Higuchi for providing an electronic endoscope apparatus capable of reducing flicker on the screen when an image obtained by an endoscope is displayed on a display unit, etc. other than a TV monitor, thereby improving the quality of the image by providing a greater resolution ([0009]).

Examiner's Note

1. The referenced citations made in the rejection(s) above are intended to exemplify areas in the prior art document(s) in which the examiner believed are the most relevant to the claimed subject matter. However, it is incumbent upon the applicant to analyze the prior art document(s) in its/their entirety since other areas of the document(s) may be relied upon at a later time to substantiate examiner's rationale of record. A prior art reference must be considered in its entirety, i.e., as a whole, including portions that would lead away from the claimed invention. W.L. Gore & associates, Inc. v. Garlock, Inc., 721 F.2d 1540, 220 USPQ 303 (Fed. Cir. 1983), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 851 (1984). However, "the prior art's mere disclosure of more than one alternative does not constitute a teaching away from any of these alternatives because such disclosure does not criticize, discredit, or otherwise discourage the solution claimed...." In re Fulton, 391 F.3d 1195, 1201, 73 USPQ2d 1141, 1146 (Fed. Cir. 2004)...

10/814,227 Art Unit: 2621

- 2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 3. Cooper et al., US-4, 667,229 Color video endoscope system
- 4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessica Roberts whose telephone number is (571) 270-1821. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00 EST Monday-Friday, Alt Friday off.

10/814,227 Art Unit: 2621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Marsha D Bank-Harold

MARSHA D. BANKS-HAROLD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

/JMR/